

GREG BELL
Lieutenant Governor

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER

Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA

Division Director

Representativ	es Present During the Inspection:
OGM	Ingrid Wieser Environmental Scientist II
OGM	Priscilla Burton Environmental Scientist III
OGM	Steve Christensen Environmental Scientist II
OGM	James Owen
Company	David Shaver Manager

Inspection Report

Permit Number:	C0070041 PARTIAL			
Inspection Type:				
Inspection Date:	Wednesday, June 09, 2010			
Start Date/Time:	6/9/2010 9:30:00 AM			
End Date/Time:	6/9/2010 12:30:00 PM			
Last Inspection:	Tuesday, May 11, 2010			

Inspector: __

Weather: Sunny 75 degrees

InspectionID Report Number: 2394

Types of Operations

Accepted by: jhelfric

6/16/2010

Permitee: **WEST RIDGE RESOURCES**Operator: **WEST RIDGE RESOURCES**

Site: WEST RIDGE MINE

Address: PO BOX 1077, PRICE UT 84501

County: CARBON

Permit Type: PERMANENT COAL PROGRAM

Permit Status: ACTIVE

Current A	Acreages
-----------	----------

6,717.80	Total Permitted		
30.62	Total Disturbed		
	Phase I		
	Phase II		
	Phase III		

Inspector ID Number:

Mineral Ownership

✓ Federal
✓ Underground

✓ State
Surface

County
Loadout

Fee
Processing

Other
Reprocessing

Report summary and status for pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments:

Staff members from the Division met with Dave Shaver to inspect Catchment Structure A and reclaimed structures C E and F. Catchment structure A contained cement barriers which were not previously there or included in the permit amendment. The other reclaimed structures were never permitted by the Division and were regulated by the landowner (BLM).

Inspector's Signature:

lugid IW Weser

Date

Monday, June DNR⁰

Permit Number: C0070041
Inspection Type: PARTIAL

Inspection Date: Wednesday, June 09, 2010

Page 2 of 3

REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

- 1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
 - a. For COMPLETE inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check Not Applicable.
 - b. For PARTIAL inspections check only the elements evaluated.
- 2. Document any noncompliance situation by reference the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
- 3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performace standard listed below.
- 4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Divison Orders, and amendments.

		Evaluated	Not Applicable	Comment	Enforcement
1.	Permits, Change, Transfer, Renewal, Sale				
2.	Signs and Markers				
3.	Topsoil				
4.a	Hydrologic Balance: Diversions				
4.b	Hydrologic Balance: Sediment Ponds and Impoundments	✓		~	
4.c	Hydrologic Balance: Other Sediment Control Measures				
4.d	Hydrologic Balance: Water Monitoring				
4.e	Hydrologic Balance: Effluent Limitations				
5.	Explosives				
6.	Disposal of Excess Spoil, Fills, Benches				
7.	Coal Mine Waste, Refuse Piles, Impoundments				
8.	Noncoal Waste				
9.	Protection of Fish, Wildlife and Related Environmental Issues	✓			
10.	Slides and Other Damage				
11.	Contemporaneous Reclamation				
12.	Backfilling And Grading				
13.	Revegetation	✓		✓	
14.	Subsidence Control				
15.	Cessation of Operations				
16.8	Roads: Construction, Maintenance, Surfacing				
16.b	Roads: Drainage Controls				
17.	Other Transportation Facilities				
18.	Support Facilities, Utility Installations				
19.	AVS Check				
20.	Air Quality Permit				
21.	Bonding and Insurance	✓		✓	
22.	Other				

Permit Number: C0070041
Inspection Type: PARTIAL

Inspection Date: Wednesday, June 09, 2010

Page 3 of 3

4.b Hydrologic Balance: Sediment Ponds and Impoundments

The four sub-catchment sites (A, C, E and F) were inspected during the site visit. Following the termination of coal fine clean-up activities within the C Canyon drainage, it was concluded among the regulatory agencies and Permittee, that Subcatchment A would remain in place as a safeguard against possible future coal fine releases from the mine-water discharge.

Sub-catchment A appeared to be stable and functional. No excelsior logs were in use within the sub-catchment's outlet structure. The water retained in the sub-catchment appeared to have a blue tint to it.

The reclamation work on sub-catchments C, E and F was inspected. All three of the sites appeared stable. No signs of erosion or cutting were visible within the area of the disturbances or adjacent to it. According to mine representative Mr. Dave Shaver, the sites were reclaimed in November of 2009. The sites were pocked and seeded. Evidence of re-vegetation efforts was at all three sites; however, more established vegetation was observed at Sub-catchment E. With the exception of Sub-catchment F, the Permittee was successful in placing large boulders within the C Canyon drainage to produce a more natural aesthetic to the reclamation. The Sub-catchment F site did not have the rock available to duplicate the rock placement.

Coal-fine accumulations were observed below Sub-catchment A. As the physical/mechanical removal of the coal fine material (summer of 2009) was confined to the C Canyon drainage above Sub-catchment A, it's likely that coal-fine material that was not removed during clean-up (either as a result of less than 100% removal above Sub-catchment A or it's location below the clean-out area) is gradually working it's way down the drainage. Over time, scouring events (such as the rainfall event in November of 2009 that significantly impacted the sub-catchments) will dislodge and flush the residual coal-fine material downstream. Consequently sediments colored by coal fines were noticeable in stream eddies at all reclaimed catchment locations.

13. Revegetation

All catchments were significantly flooded and washed out in the fall of 2009. Immediately following this event, catchment A was reseeded and the other catchments were removed and reclaimed. The vegetation was very sparse at all locations and weedy species dominated. Desireable species present at reseeded areas included: bottle brush squirelltail (seeded), yellow sweetclover (volunteer), yellow beeplant (volunteer), winterfat (seeded), andscarlet globemallow (seeded).

21. Bonding and Insurance

Catchment A had several cement barriers around the pond in order to prevent recreationists from entering the pond. The barriers were not included in the permit amendment or the bond. The Division will assess this issue further and may require the company to place a bond for the removal of the structures.